UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V. Melissa Lynn Duncan Defendant	Case No. 1;13 MJ 368	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re efendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – F	indings of Fact	
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but ir a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
(2)	a failure to register under 18 U.S. The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	C. § 2250 while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defenda	Imption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe int has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et		
(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
	Alternati	•	
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the community.	
	Part II – Statement of	f the Reasons for Detention	
evidence	✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	he detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing	
	ant has no ties to this district and no visible means of ord. Defendant is detained on the basis of the facts s	support. Defendant and counsel waived a detention hearing on et forth in the Pretrial Services Report.	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 15, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
_		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge